



Prime labeling of some union graphs and circulant graphs

L Arungalai Anbarasi¹, VS Selvi²

¹ Research scholar, Department of Maths, Theivanaiammal College for Women, Thiruvalluvar University, Tamil Nadu, India

² Assistant professor of Maths, Department of Maths, Theivanaiammal College for Women, Thiruvalluvar University, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract

We consider only finite, simple and undirected graphs. For a graph G , its vertex and edge sets are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ respectively and further, $|V(G)|$ and $|E(G)|$ denote their cardinalities.

Definition 1.1. A bijection $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ is said to be a prime labeling of a graph G with n vertices, if $f(u)$ and $f(v)$ are relatively prime numbers (i.e., $\text{Gcd}(f(u), f(v)) = 1$) whenever u and v are adjacent vertices of G .

Since the introduction of prime labeling about thirty five years ago, varieties of graphs have been studied for prime labeling. A brief summary of the results regarding prime labeling and its variants is available in the dynamic survey of graph labeling maintained by Gallian [3]. In this paper, we mainly investigate prime labeling for graphs which are union of $C(k) \cup n$ (defined below).

Keywords: prime labeling, prime graph, lemma, Bertrand's postulate

1. Introduction

We shall denote the cardinality of these sets by $|V(G)|$ and $|E(G)|$ respectively. We refer to Gross and Yellen [] for graph theoretic terminology and notations and Burton [] for number theory results. We begin with the definition of prime labeling.

$f: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is said to be a prime labeling of G , if for every pair of adjacent vertices u and v , $\text{gcd}(f(u), f(v)) = 1$.

Prime labeling was originated by Entringer and was discussed in a paper by Taut *et al.* A brief summary on prime labeling and its variants is available in the dynamic survey of graph labeling by Gallian [6]. In this paper, we find some new results related to prime labeling.

We now give the organization of our paper.

Main Results

The independence number of a graph G is the maximum cardinality of an independent set of G . It is denoted by $\beta_0(G)$. **Lemma 6.2.** If $\beta_0(G) < \lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \rfloor$ then G is not a prime graph (where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the greatest integer not exceeding x).

It is proved in [3] that the wheel graph $W_n = C_n + K_1$ is prime if and only if n is even. Also it is easy to prove that the cycle C_n is prime for all n . Here we prove the result for union of wheel graph and cycle graph.

Theorem 6.3 First we show that $W_{2n+1} \cup C_{2m+1}$ is not a prime graph. Let G denote the graph

$W_{2n+1} \cup C_{2m+1}$ It may be verified that $\beta_0(W_{2n+1}) = n$ and $\beta_0(C_{2m+1}) = m$.

Therefore,

$$\beta_0(G) = n + m \quad (1)$$

Since $|V(G)| = 2n + 2m + 3$,

$$\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \rfloor = n + m + 1. \quad (2)$$

So by (1) and (2),

$$\beta_0(G) < \lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \rfloor.$$

Therefore in view of Lemma 2.1, G is not a prime graph. Next we claim that if either $G' = W_{2n} \cup C_{2m+1}$ or $G' = W_{2n+1} \cup C_{2m}$ then G' is not a prime graph. It is easy to see that $\beta_0(G') = n + m$ and

$$|V(G')| = 2n + 2m + 2. \text{ So}$$

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|V(G')|}{2} \right\rfloor = n + m + 1.$$

Therefore

$$\beta_0(G') < \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G')|}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Thus G' is not a prime graph.

Finally we prove that $W_{2n} \cup C_{2m}$ is a prime graph. Let G'' denote the graph $W_{2n} \cup C_{2m}$. Let the sets $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+3}, \dots, v_{2n+2m+1}\}$ be the sets of vertices of W_{2n} and C_{2m} respectively, where v_1 is an apex vertex of W_{2n} . Define: $V(G'') \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2n + 2m + 1\}$ as per the following two cases.

Case 1: $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_1) &= 1, \\ f(v_i) &= i + 2, i = 2, 3, \dots, 2n + 1, \\ f(v_{2n+2}) &= 2, \\ f(v_{2n+3}) &= 3, \\ f(v_i) &= i, i = 2n + 4, 2n + 5, \dots, 2n + 2m + 1, \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_1) &= 1, \\ f(v_i) &= i + 1, i = 2, 3, \dots, 2n + 1, \\ f(v_{2n+2}) &= 2, \\ f(v_i) &= i, i = 2n + 3, 2n + 4, \dots, 2n + 2m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The definition of f given in Case 1 and Case 2 above is illustrated in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively. Under the given assumptions, it may be verified that f defines a prime labeling.

Note that $P_n + \bar{K}_2$ is prime if and only if either n is odd or n is 2[8]. The next result is about union of $P_n + \bar{K}_2$ And cycle graph.

Theorem 6.3.1. $(P_n + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_m$ Is a prime graph if and only if either $n \equiv 2$, or n is odd and m is even.

Proof: First we show that $(P_2 + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_m$ is a prime graph.

Let $\{v_1, v_2\}, \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of P_2, \bar{K}_2 and C_m respectively. Define $f: V((P_2 + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_m) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 4\}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} f(v_1) &= 3, \\ f(v_2) &= 5, \\ f(u_1) &= 2, \\ f(u_2) &= 4, \\ f(w_1) &= 1, \\ f(w_i) &= i + 4, i = 2, 3, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

It may be verified that f is a prime labeling on $(P_2 + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_m$.

To prove that for $n > 1$ neither $(P_{2n} + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_{2m+1}$ nor $(P_2 + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_{2m}$ is a prime graph, we let $G = (P_{2n} + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_{2m+1}$ And $G' = (P_2 + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_{2m}$.

Since for $n > 1, \beta_0(P_{2n} + \bar{K}_2) = n$ and $\beta_0(C_{2m+1}) = \beta_0(C_{2m}) = m$, we have

$$\beta_0(G) = \beta_0(G) = n + m. \tag{3}$$

Also,

$$|V(G)| = 2n + 2m + 2. \text{ Therefore}$$

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor = n + m + 1. \tag{4}$$

$$\beta_0(G) = \beta_0(G') < \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G')|}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Therefore in view of Lemma 2.1, neither G nor G' is a prime graph.

Now we claim that $(P_{2n+1} + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_{2m+1}$ is not a prime graph. Let $G'' = (P_{2n+1} + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_{2m+1}$. Note that $\beta_0(G) = n + m$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{|V(G'')|}{2} \right\rfloor$. So G'' is not a prime graph.

Finally we prove that $G^* = (P_{2n+1} + \bar{K}_2) \cup C_{2m}$ is a prime graph for all n and m . Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n+1}\}$, $\{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2m}\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of $P_{2n+1} + \bar{K}_2$ and C_{2m+1} respectively. Now due to Bertrand's postulate, there exists a prime number p lying strictly between $\frac{2n+3}{2}$ and $2n + 3$.

Define $f: V(G^*) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2n + 2m + 3\}$, using this number p as per the following two cases.

Case 1: $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_1) &= 1, \\ f(u_2) &= p, \\ f(v_i) &= p - i, & i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 4, \\ f(v_i) &= 2n + p - i + 2, & i = p - 3, p - 2, \dots, 2n + 1, \\ f(w_1) &= 2, \\ f(w_2) &= 3, \\ f(w_i) &= i + 2n + 3, & i = 3, 4, \dots, 2m. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_1) &= 1, \\ f(u_2) &= p, \\ f(v_i) &= p - i, & i = 1, 2, \dots, p - 3, \\ f(v_i) &= 2n + p - i + 2, & i = p - 2, p - 1, \dots, 2n + 1, \\ f(w_1) &= 2, \\ f(w_i) &= i + 2n + 3, & i = 2, 3, \dots, 2m. \end{aligned}$$

It may be verified that f is a prime labeling of G .

The graph $C_n^{(k)}$ (where $k > 1$) is the one point union of k copies of cycle C_n and it is obtained from the k copies of cycle C_n by identifying one vertex from each of these k copies of C_n .

It is quite obvious that the graph $C_n^{(k)}$ is prime but there are some interesting results about prime labeling of union of such graphs which we studied in [9]. Here we derive a result about union of $C_n^{(2)}$ and the cycle graph C_m .

Theorem 6.3.2: $C_n^{(2)} \cup C_m$ is a prime graph if and only if at-least one of n and m is even.

Proof: First we show that $C_{2n+1}^{(2)} \cup C_{2m+1}$ is a prime graph. Let G denote the graph $C_{2n+1}^{(2)} \cup C_{2m+1}$. It may be verified that $\beta_0(C_{2n+1}^{(2)}) = 2n$ and $\beta_0(C_{2m+1}) = m$.

Therefore

$$\beta_0(G) = 2n + m. \tag{5}$$

Since $|V(G)| = 4n + 2m + 2$,

$$\left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor = 2n + m + 1. \tag{6}$$

So by (5) and (8),

$$\beta_0(G) < \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.1, G is not a prime graph.

Now we prove that $C_{2n}^{(2)} \cup C_m$ is a prime graph.

Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n}\}$ and $\{v_1, v_{2n+1}, \dots, v_{4n-1}\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of two cycles of $C_{2n}^{(2)}$ and, let

$\{v_{4n}, v_{4n+1}, \dots, v_{4n+m-1}\}$ be set of consecutive vertices of C_m .

Define $f: V(C_{2n}^{(2)} \cup C_m) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 4n + m - 1\}$ as

$$f(v_i) = 2n + 1, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, 2n \text{ and } 4n + 1, 4n + 2, \dots, 4n + m - 1,$$

$$f(v_i) = i + 1, i = 2n + 1, 2n + 2, \dots, 4n - 1,$$

$$f(v_{4n}) = 1.$$

It is easy to verify that f is a prime labeling of $C_{2n}^{(2)} \cup C_m$. finally we prove that

$C_{2n+1}^{(2)} \cup C_{2m}$ is a prime graph. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{v_1, v_{2n+2}, v_{2n+3}, \dots, v_{4n+1}\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of two cycles of $C_{2n+1}^{(2)}$ and, let

$\{v_{4n+2}, v_{4n+3}, \dots, v_{4n+2m+1}\}$ be set of consecutive vertices of C_{2m} .

Define $f: V(C_{2n+1}^{(2)} \cup C_{2m}) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 4n + 2m + 1\}$ as

$$f(v_1) = 1,$$

$$f(v_i) = i + 2m, i = 2, 3, \dots, 4n + 1,$$

$$f(v_i) = i - 4n, i = 4n + 2, 4n + 3, \dots, 4n + 2m + 1.$$

46

It may be verified that f is a prime labeling of $C_{2n+1}^{(2)} \cup C_{2m}$.

For $m > 2$, the (m, n) -gon star denoted by $S_n^{(m)}$, is the graph obtained from the cycle C_n and n copies of the path P_{m-2} by joining the two end vertices of a path P_{m-2} to each pair of consecutive vertices of the cycle such that each of the end vertices of the path is adjacent to exactly one vertex of the cycle. It has total $kn(m-1)$ vertices and nm edges as can be seen in the graph $S_6^{(4)}$ in Figure 3

In [8] it has been show that $S_n^{(m)}$ is a prime graph for all n and m . Here we derive results for union of two (m, n) -gon stars.

Theorem 6.3.4: $S_n^{(m)} \cup S_k^{(j)}$ is not a prime graph if m, j are even and n, k are odd.

Proof: Let $G = S_n^{(m)} \cup S_k^{(j)}$. Since n is odd and m is even, the independence numbers of the cycle C_n and the path P_{m-2} are $\frac{n-1}{2}$ and $\frac{m-2}{2}$ respectively. So the number of elements in any independent set of $S_n^{(m)}$ is at most $(\frac{n-1}{2} + n) = \frac{(m-1)n-1}{2}$. Similarly the cardinality of any independent set $S_n^{(j)}$ is at most $\frac{(j-1)k-1}{2}$. Therefore

$$\beta_0(G) \leq \frac{n(m-1)+k(j-1)}{2} \tag{7}$$

$$\text{Also, } \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{n(m-1)+k(j-1)}{2}. \tag{8}$$

By (7) and (8),

$$\beta_0(G) = \left\lfloor \frac{|V(G)|}{2} \right\rfloor$$

Thus G is not a prime graph.

Theorem 6.3.6. $S_n^{(m)} \cup S_k^{(2m)}$ is a prime graph for all n, m and k .

Proof: Let G denote the graph $S_n^{(m)} \cup S_k^{(2m)}$. Let $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{2n}\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of the cycle C_{2n} and C_k respectively. Also for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$ and $1 \leq j \leq k$, let

$\{u_q^i : 1 \leq i \leq q \leq 2m - 2\}$ and $\{v_r^j : 1 \leq r \leq 2m - 2\}$ be the sets of consecutive vertices of the vertices of the paths P_{2m-2} in $S_{2n}^{(2m)}$ and $S_k^{(2m)}$ respectively, such that the vertices u_1^i, u_{2m-2}^i, v_1^j and v_{2m-2}^j are adjacent to the vertices u_i, u_{i+1}, v_j and v_{j+1} respectively. Define $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, (2m - 1)(2n + k)\}$ as

$$f(u_i) = (i - 1)(2m - 1) + 2, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n,$$

$$f(u_q^i) = (i - 1)(2m - 1) + q + 2, i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n,$$

47

$$q = 1, 2, \dots, 2m - 2,$$

$$f(v_1) = 1,$$

$$f(v_j) = (2n + j - 1)(2m - 1) + 1, j = 2, 3, \dots, k,$$

$$f(v_r^j) = (2n + j - 1)(2m - 1) + r + 1, j = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

$$r = 1, 2, \dots, 2m - 2,$$

The definition of f is illustrate in Figure 4.

Observe that

$$\gcd(f(u_i), f(u_{i+1})) = \gcd((i - 1)(2m - 1) + 2, (i(2m - 1) + 2))$$

$$= \gcd((i - 1)(2m - 1) + 2, 2m - 1)$$

$$= \gcd(2, 2m - 1)$$

$$= 1.$$

$$\gcd(f(v_j), f(v_{j+1})) = \gcd((2n + j - 1)(2m - 1) + 1, (2n + j)(2m - 1) + 1)$$

$$= \gcd((2n + j - 1)(2m - 1) + 1, 2m - 1)$$

$$= \gcd(1, 2m - 1)$$

$$= 1.$$

$$\gcd(f(u_1), f(u_{2n})) = \gcd(2, (2n - 1)(2m - 1) + 2)$$

$$= 1.$$

$$\gcd(f(u_1), f(u_{2m-2}^{2n})) = \gcd(2, (2n - 1)(2m - 1) + 2m - 2 + 2)$$

$$= \gcd(2, (2n - 1)(2m - 1) + 2m)$$

$$= 1.$$

Thus f is a prime labeling on G .

The helm H_n is the graph obtained from a wheel by attaching a pendent edge at each at each vertex of the cycle C_n . The book graph B_n is the graph $S_n \times P_2$, where S_n is the star graph with $n + 1$ vertices. Each of the graphs H_n and B_n is prime for all n , which is proved in [10] and [8] respectively. Our next result is about union of helm and book graph.

Theorem 6.3.7: $H_n \cup B_m$ is a prime graph for all n and m .

Proof: Let G denote the graph $H_n \cup B_m$. Let u_0 be a apex vertex of H_n . Let $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ be set of vertices of cycle C_n in H_n and let $\{u'_1, u'_2, \dots, u'_n\}$ be set of pendant vertices of H_n

Such that u_i and u'_i are adjacent. Also let $\{(v_i, w_j) : 0 \leq i \leq m, j = 1, 2\}$ be set of vertices of $B_m = S_m \times P_2$ where $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ and

$\{w_1, w_2\}$ be sets of vertices of S_m and P_2 in which v_0 is a center vertex. Now there exists a prime number p lying strictly between $\frac{2n+3}{2}$ and $2n + 3$ which exist due to Bertrand's postulate.

We define $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2n + 2m + 3\}$ as

$$f(u_0) = p,$$

$$f(u_i) = p - 2i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-5}{2},$$

$$f(u'_i) = p - 2i + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{p-5}{2},$$

$$f(u_i) = 4, i = \frac{p-5}{2} + 1,$$

$$f(u'_i) = 3, i = \frac{p-5}{2} + 1,$$

$$f(u_i) = p + 2(n - i) + 2, i = \frac{p-5}{2} + 2, i = \frac{p-5}{2} + 3, \dots, n,$$

$$f(u'_i) = p + 2(n - i) + 1, i = \frac{p-5}{2} + 2, i = \frac{p-5}{2} + 3, \dots, n,$$

$$f(v_0, w_1) = 1,$$

$$f(v_0, w_2) = 2,$$

$$f(v_i, w_1) = 2i + 2n + 2, i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

$$f(v_i, w_2) = 2i + 2n + 3, i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

It may be verified that f is a prime labeling on G . The definition of f is illustrated in Figure 5.

For a positive integer $n \geq 3$ and a subset $S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, the circulant graph $\text{Circ}(n, S)$ is the graph with vertex set $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and an edge between vertices v_i and v_j if and

Only if $|i - j| \in S \cup \{1, n - 1\}$. Here we prove some results about circulant graph $\text{Circ}(n, \{k\})$, for $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{2}$. For simplicity we shall write $\text{Circ}(n, \{k\})$ as $\text{Circ}(n, k)$.

Theorem 6.3.8: $\text{Circ}(n, k)$ is not a prime graph in each of the following cases:

1. n and k both are even
2. n is odd

Proof: Case (i) n and k both are even.

In this case $\beta_0(C_n) = \frac{n}{2}$ for the cycle C_n of $\text{Circ}(n, k)$.

Therefore since k is even, we have

$$\beta_0(\text{Circ}(n, k)) < \beta_0(C_n) = \frac{n}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{|V(\text{Circ}(n, k))|}{2} \right\rfloor$$

So $\text{Circ}(n, k)$ is not a prime graph

Case (ii) n is odd.

Here $\beta_0(C_n) = \frac{n-1}{2}$ for the cycle C_n of $\text{Circ}(n, k)$. Let f be a prime labeling of $\text{Circ}(n, k)$ and let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ be a set of consecutive vertices of $\text{Circ}(n, k)$. Without loss of generality suppose $f(v_{2i-1})$ is odd, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n+1}{2}$ and $f(v_{2i})$ is even, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n+1}{2}$. But if n is odd then v_2 is adjacent to at least one vertex with even label for any value of k . this is not possible. So $\text{Circ}(n, k)$ is not a prime graph when n is odd.

Theorem 6.3.9: Let p denote a prime number. Then $\text{Circ}(2p, p)$ is a prime graph if and only if $p \neq 2, 3$.

Proof: We first show that $\text{Circ}(2p, p)$ where $p \neq 2, 3$ is a prime graph. Let $G = \text{Circ}(2p, p)$ and let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2p}\}$ be a set of consecutive vertices of $\text{Circ}(2p, p)$.

Case 1: $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

Define $f: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2p\}$ as

$$f(v_i) = i, i \neq p, p - 2,$$

$$f(v_{p-2}) = p,$$

$$f(v_p) = p - 2.$$

We claim that $\gcd(f(u), f(v)) = 1$ for any two adjacent vertices u and v .

If $i \neq p, 2p, p - 2$ then since p is a prime,

$$\gcd(f(v_i), f(v_{i+p})) = \gcd(i, i + p) = \gcd(i, p) = 1. \text{ Also using } p \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \text{ we observe that}$$

$$\gcd(f(v_{p-3}), f(v_{p-2})) = \gcd(p - 3, p) = \gcd(p, 3) = 1,$$

$$\gcd(f(v_{p+1}), f(v_p)) = \gcd(p + 1, p - 2)$$

$$= \gcd(3, p - 2)$$

$$= \gcd(3, 2)$$

$$= 1.$$

Using the fact that p is odd we get

$$\gcd(f(v_{2p}), f(v_p)) = \gcd(2p, p - 2) = \gcd(4, p - 2) = 1,$$

$$\gcd(f(v_{2p-2}), f(v_{p-2})) = \gcd(2p - 2, p) = \gcd(2, p) = 1$$

Case 2: $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

Define $g: V(G) \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, 2p\}$ as

$$g(v_i) = f(v_i), i \neq p - 2, p, p + 2,$$

$$g(v_{p-2}) = p - 2,$$

$$g(v_{p+2}) = p,$$

$$g(v_p) = p + 2.$$

The detailed verification that g is a prime labeling is almost similar to Case 1.

Now we show that $\text{Circ}(2p, p)$ is not prime when $p = 2, 3$.

Note that if $p = 2$ then by Theorem 2.7, $\text{Circ}(2p, p)$ is not a prime graph. Also when $p = 3$, $\text{Circ}(2p, p)$ is 3-regular graph and since 6 is relatively prime to only two numbers from 1 to 6, $\text{Circ}(6, 3)$ cannot be a prime graph.

In view of Theorem 2.8, we have complete information about the primality of $\text{Circ}(2n, n)$ when n is a prime number.

However, if n is an odd integer which is not a prime, then we do not have any general result about the primality of $\text{Circ}(2n, n)$. Along this line, so far we have been able to find prime labeling of $\text{Circ}(18, 9)$ and $\text{Circ}(30, 15)$ only, which are given in Figure 6 and Figure 7 respectively. At present it seems difficult to find a general formula for the prime labeling of $\text{Circ}(2n, n)$, where n an arbitrary odd integer is different from a prime number.

In view of Theorem 2.7, Theorem 2.8, and the positive result of $\text{Circ}(18, 9)$ and $\text{Circ}(30, 15)$, we can make the following statement in the form of corollary.

References

1. Gross J, Yellen J. Handbook of Graph Theory, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2004.
2. Burton DM. Elementary Number Theory. 6th Edition, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi, 2007.
3. Gallian JA. A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labeling. The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 2012, 19 #DS6.
4. Tout A, Dabboucy AN, Howalla K. Prime Labeling of Graphs. National Academy Science Letters, 1982; 11:365-368.
5. Fu HL, Huang KC. On Prime Labellings. Discrete Mathematics, 1994; 127:181-186.