



New subclass of univalent function associated with a fractional calculus operators

¹ Pawan Chanchal, ² AK Arora, ³ Jyoti Taneja

¹ Department of Mathematics, Government College Kekri, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India

² Department of Mathematics, Government College of Ajmer, Ajmer, Rajasthan, India

³ Department of Mathematics, Bhag Singh Khalsa College for Women, Abohar, Punjab, India

Abstract

In this paper, by making use of generalized hypergeometric function we define a new class $X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$ of univalent functions in the open unit disk. We derived coefficient estimate, distortion theorems, extreme points and application to fractional calculus operator for the function belonging to this class.

Keywords: hadamard product, generalized hypergeometric functions, fractional integral operator, coefficient estimate, distortion theorem

1. Introduction

Let Ω be the class of analytic and univalent function in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ is of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k \tag{1}$$

Let $f \in \Omega$ given by (1) and $g \in \Omega$ given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \beta_k z^k \tag{2}$$

We define the convolution product (or Hadamard) of f and g by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha_k \beta_k z^k = (g * f)(z); (z \in U), \tag{3}$$

Let Δ is subclass of Ω consisting of the functions of the form:

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \alpha_k z^k \tag{4}$$

Definition 1:- The generalized hypergeometric function ${}_2R_1(a, b, c; k; z)^{[1]}$ is defined by

$${}_2R_1(a, b, c; k; z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \Gamma(b+kn) z^n}{\Gamma(c+kn) (n)!}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, k > 0, |z| < 1, \tag{5}$$

Where $\text{Re}(c-a-b) > 0$ and $(a)_n$ Pochhammer symbol is given by $(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}$.

Definition 2:- Let $f(z)$ be in form (4), by using (3) we define a operator $\Phi(a, b, c; k): \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(a, b, c; k)f(z) &= (z \cdot {}_2R_1(a, b, c; k; z)) * f(z) = \left(z \left(\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n \Gamma(b+kn) z^n}{\Gamma(c+kn) (n)!} \right) \right) * \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n \right) \\ \mu(a, b, c; k)f(z) &= z - \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1} \Gamma(b+k(n-1)) \alpha_n z^n}{\Gamma(c+k(n-1)) (n-1)!}, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}; z \in U, k > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Definition 3:- Let $f(z)$ be in the form (4) is said to be in subclass $X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{z(\mu(a,b,c;k)f(z))''' - \delta(\mu(a,b,c;k)f(z))''}{(\mu(a,b,c;k)f(z))'' + 2(1-\delta)} \right| < \beta, \quad z \in U, 0 \leq \delta \leq 1, 0 < \beta \leq 1, \tag{7}$$

2. Coefficient Estimate

Theorem 1:- Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (4). Then $f(z) \in X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))\alpha_n}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \leq 2\beta(1-\delta) \tag{8}$$

above result is sharp for the function of the form

$$f(z) = z - \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!}} z^n, n \geq 2 \tag{9}$$

Proof:- Suppose that the inequalities (8) holds true then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| z(\mu(a, b, c; k)f(z))''' - \delta(\mu(a, b, c; k)f(z))'' \right| - \beta \left| (\mu(a, b, c; k)f(z))'' + 2(1-\delta) \right| \\ = & \left| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \alpha_n z^{n-2} + \delta \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \alpha_n z^{n-2} \right| \\ & - \beta \left| 2(1-\delta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \alpha_n z^{n-2} \right| \\ \leq & \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \alpha_n - 2\beta(1-\delta) \\ \leq & 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by maximum modulus principle, $f(z) \in X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$

Conversely:- Suppose $f(z) \in X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$ then

$$\left| \frac{z(\mu(a,b,c;k)f(z))''' - \delta(\mu(a,b,c;k)f(z))''}{(\mu(a,b,c;k)f(z))'' + 2(1-\delta)} \right| < \beta, z \in U$$

then

$$\left| z(\mu(a, b, c; k)f(z))''' - \delta(\mu(a, b, c; k)f(z))'' \right| < \beta \left| (\mu(a, b, c; k)f(z))'' + 2(1-\delta) \right|$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \alpha_n z^{n-2} + \delta \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \alpha_n z^{n-2} \right| \\ & < \beta \left| 2(1-\delta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \alpha_n z^{n-2} \right| \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))\alpha_n}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \leq 2\beta(1-\delta)$$

finally we can see sharpness follows for the function defined in (9).

3. Distortion Bounds

Theorem 2:- Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (4) be in the class $X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$ then we have

$$\left| z \right| - \frac{\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} \left| z \right|^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq \left| z \right| + \frac{\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} \left| z \right|^2, \tag{10}$$

Furthermore

$$1 - \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z| \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z|, \tag{11}$$

the result is sharp for the function defined by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} z^2 \tag{12}$$

Proof :- It is easy to see from theorem1 that

$$2(\delta + \beta) \frac{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))\alpha_n}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!} \leq 2\beta(1-\delta)$$

Then

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \leq \frac{\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} \tag{13}$$

by using (13), we have

$$|f(z)| \geq |z| - |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \geq |z| - \frac{\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z|^2,$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq |z| + |z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \leq |z| + \frac{\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z|^2,$$

Which proves the assertion (10)

from (13) and theorem1, it follows also that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\alpha_n \leq \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)}$$

consequently, we have

$$|f'(z)| \geq 1 - |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\alpha_n \geq 1 - \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z|,$$

and

$$|f'(z)| \leq 1 + |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\alpha_n \leq 1 + \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a \Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z|,$$

This proves the assertion (11). Since each of equalities in (10) and (11) is satisfied by the function f(z) given by (12), which proves our theorem.

4. Extreme Points

Theorem 3:- Let $f_1(z) = z$ and $f_n(z) = z - \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!}} z^n, n=2,3,..$ Then $f(z) \in X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$ iff it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n f_n(z)$ Where $b_n \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = 1$.

Proof:- Firstly, let

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n f_n(z) = b_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \left[z - \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!}} z^n \right] \\ &= z \left(b_1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \right) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!}} z^n \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!}} z^n \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(z) \in X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$, since

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)}{n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!}} \cdot \frac{n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!}}{2\beta(1-\delta)} = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n = 1 - b_1 < 1$$

Conversely:- Assume that $f(z) \in X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$, then by (8) we may set

$$b_n = \frac{n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!}}{2\beta(1-\delta)} \alpha_n, n \geq 2 \text{ and } 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n = b_1$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n z^n = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2\beta(1-\delta)b_n}{n(n-1)(n-2+\delta+\beta) \frac{\Gamma(c)(a)_{n-1}\Gamma(b+k(n-1))}{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k(n-1))(n-1)!}} z^n \\ &= z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n (z - f_n(z)) = z \left(1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n \right) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n f_n(z) \\ &= b_1 z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n f_n(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n f_n(z) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem.

5. Application to the Fractional Calculus

Many definitions of fractional calculus are given in the literature ^(2, 3, 5) i.e. fractional derivatives and fractional integrals. We here recall the following definition which is used by Owa ^[6] and by Srivastava and Owa ^[4].

Definition 4:- The fractional integral of order μ is defined for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^{-\mu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\mu)} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{1-\mu}} dt \quad (\mu > 0),$$

Where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the complex z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\mu-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to the real when $z-t > 0$.

Definition 5:- The fractional derivative of order μ is defined, for a function $f(z)$, by

$$D_z^{\mu} f(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{d}{dz} \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{(z-t)^{\mu}} dt \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1),$$

Where $f(z)$ is an analytic function in a simply-connected region of the complex z -plane containing the origin and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{\mu}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to the real when $z-t > 0$.

Definition6:- under the hypotheses of definition5, the fractional derivative of order $n+\mu$ is defined by

$$D_z^{n+\mu} f(z) = \frac{d^n}{dz^n} D_z^{\mu} f(z) \quad (0 \leq \mu < 1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$$

Now, we state the definition of fractional integral operator given by ^[7].

Definition7:- for real number $\alpha > 0$, η and δ , the fractional operator, $I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\eta,\delta}$ is defined by

$$I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\eta,\delta} f(z) = \frac{z^{-\alpha-\eta}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^z (z-t)^{\alpha-1} F\left(\alpha+\eta, -\delta; \alpha; 1-\frac{t}{z}\right) f(t) dt, \tag{13}$$

Where $f(z)$ is analytic function in a simply connected region containing the origin with order

$$f(z) = O(|z|^{\varepsilon}), z \rightarrow 0, \text{ where } \varepsilon > \max(0, \eta - \delta) - 1,$$

$$F(a, b, c; z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n(b)_n}{(c)_n(1)_n} z^n$$

and $(a)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0 \\ a(a+1) \dots (a+n-1) & n \in N \end{cases}$$

and the multiplicity of $(z-t)^{a-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z-t)$ to be real when $z-t > 0$. To prove our result we need lemma given by Srivastava [7].

Lemma1:- Let $\alpha > 0$ and $n > \eta - \delta - 1$. Then

$$I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\eta,\delta} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n-\eta+\delta+1)}{\Gamma(n-\eta+1)\Gamma(n+\alpha+\delta+1)} z^{n-\eta}$$

making use of lemma1. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem4:- let $\eta < 2$, $\alpha > 0$, $\eta(\alpha + \lambda) \leq 3\alpha$ and $\alpha + \lambda > -2$. If $f(z)$ be defined by the function (4) is in the class $X(a, b, c; \beta, \delta, k)$ then

$$|I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\eta,\lambda} f(z)| \geq \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta+\lambda)}{\Gamma(2-\eta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\lambda)} \left(1 - \frac{2\beta(2-\eta+\lambda)(1-\delta)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a(2-\eta)(2+\alpha+\lambda)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z|\right) \tag{14}$$

And

$$|I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\eta,\lambda} f(z)| \leq \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta+\lambda)}{\Gamma(2-\eta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\lambda)} \left(1 + \frac{2\beta(2-\eta+\lambda)(1-\delta)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a(2-\eta)(2+\alpha+\lambda)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z|\right) \tag{15}$$

for $z \in U_0$ where

$$U_0 = \begin{cases} U & n \leq 1 \\ U - \{0\} & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

The result is sharp for the function given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{\beta(1-\delta)}{(\delta+\beta)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} z^2$$

Proof:- By using above lemma, we have

$$I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\eta,\lambda} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta+\lambda)}{\Gamma(2-\eta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\lambda)} z^{1-\eta} - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n-\eta+\lambda+1)}{\Gamma(n-\eta+1)\Gamma(n+\alpha+\lambda+1)} \alpha_n z^{n-\eta} \tag{16}$$

taking

$$H(z) = \frac{\Gamma(2-\eta)\Gamma(2+\alpha+\lambda)}{\Gamma(2-\eta+\lambda)} z^\eta I_{0,z}^{\alpha,\eta,\lambda} f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} h(n) \alpha_n z^n$$

where

$$h(n) = \frac{(2-\eta+\lambda)_{n-1}(1)_n}{(2-\eta)_{n-1}(2+\alpha+\lambda)_{n-1}}, (n \geq 2) \tag{17}$$

it can be easily seen that $h(n)$ is non-increasing for $n \geq 2$ and thus we have

$$0 < h(n) \leq h(2) = \frac{2(2-\eta+\lambda)}{(2-\eta)(2+\alpha+\lambda)} \tag{18}$$

now by using theorem1 and (18), we have

$$|H(z)| \geq |z| - h(2)|z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \geq |z| - \frac{2\beta(2-\eta+\lambda)(1-\delta)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a(2-\eta)(2+\alpha+\lambda)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z|^2,$$

and

$$|H(z)| \leq |z| + h(2)|z|^2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \alpha_n \leq |z| + \frac{2\beta(2-\eta+\lambda)(1-\delta)\Gamma(b)\Gamma(c+k)}{a(2-\eta)(2+\alpha+\lambda)\Gamma(c)\Gamma(b+k)} |z|^2,$$

This proves (14) and (15).

6. References

1. Virchenko N, Kalla SL, Al-Zamel A. Some results on generalized hypergeometric function, Integral Transforms and Special Funcion. 2001; 12(1):89-100.
2. Aouf MK. On fractional derivatives and fractional integrals of certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions” Math. Japon. 1990; 35(5):831-837.
3. Srivastava HM, Owa S. An application of the fractional derivative, Math. Japon. 1984; 29:383-389.
4. Srivastava HM, Owa S. Univalent functions, Fractional calculus and their applications” Halsted press, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1989.
5. Srivastava HM, Aouf MK. A Certain fractional derivative operator and its applications to a new class of analytic and multivalent functions with negative coefficient I and II J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1992; 171:973-986.
6. Owa S. On the Distortion Theorems, I, Kyungpook Math J. 1978; 18:53-59.
7. Srivastava HM, Siago M, Owa S. A class of distortion theorem of fractional calculus J Math Anal. Appl. 1988; 131:412-420.